

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,237	02/19/2004	Patrick Rooney Conarro	CID-0401	5192	
25007 75	590 02/03/2006		EXAM	INER	
LAW OFFICE OF DALE B. HALLING, LLC 655 SOUTHPOINTE COURT, SUITE 100					
	SPRINGS, CO 80906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S	P
0	0

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/782,237	CONARRO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen J. Castellano	3727	

	•	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The Ap	peal Brief filed on 10 January 2006 is defective	for failure to comply with one or r	more provisions	of 37 CFR 41.37			
MONT	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a of the or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this D MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.						
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	inder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ns are not under	the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🗌	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection pres	ented for review	(37 CFR			
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CF 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CF	R			
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):					
	Items 5 and 6: Appellant fails to provide a ground of 4 of the final Office action mailed September 9, 200 being unpatentable over Pace, Young or Panta Chicaddressed in the arguments section, either. Appella rejected under 103 as being unpatentable over Pace Cinque] in view of Chantaca, Wright and Howell; Clover Pace, Panta Chica or [Pace or Panta Chica in	4 wherein Claims 1-6, 10, 13 and 15- ca in view of Cinque. This ground of nt has misstated all of the other 103 e, Young, Panta Chica or [Pace, You aims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under	-19 are rejected un rejection hasn't be rejections: Claims ung or Panta Chica er 103 as being un	nder 103 as een 7-9 are a in view of apatentable			

rejected under 103 as being unpatentable over Pace, Young, Panta Chica or [Pace, Young or Panta Chica in view of Cinque] in view of Chantaca, Wright and Howell; Claims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 103 as being unpatentable over Pace, Panta Chica or [Pace or Panta Chica in view of Cinque] in view of Chantaca, Wright and Howell; and Claims 20 is rejected under 103 as being unpatentable over Pace, Young, Panta Chica or [Pace, Young or Panta Chica in view of Cinque] in view of de Chollet, Williamson and MacGregor, Jr..

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727